



08 a 12 de outubro de 2017 • UFV - VIÇOSA | MG

THE IMPORTANCE OF DIFFERENT ENVIRONMENTAL FILTERS AND SPATIAL EXTENT IN TADPOLE METACOMMUNITY STRUCTURE

Rodolfo M. Pelinson^{14*}, Michel V. Garey², Denise C. Rossa-Feres³

1. Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biologia Animal, Instituto de Biociências, Letras e Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual Paulista Júlio de Mesquita Filho (UNESP), São José do Rio Preto, São Paulo, Brasil. 2. Instituto Latino-Americano de Ciências da Vida e da Natureza, Universidade Federal da Integração Latino-Americana (UNILA), Foz do Iguaçu, Paraná, Brasil; 3. Departamento de Zoologia e Botânica, Instituto de Biociências, Letras e Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual Paulista Júlio de Mesquita Filho (UNESP), São José do Rio Preto, São Paulo, Brasil. 4. Current Address: Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ecologia, Instituto de Biociências (IB), Universidade de São Paulo (USP), São Paulo, São Paulo, Brasil; *. Correspondence to rodolfopelinson@gmail.com.

Tema/Meio de apresentação: Ecologia de Comunidades/Oral

Because similar metacommunity processes may operate in local and regional scale, the spatial extent of studies is important when we attempt to recognize processes that generates metacommunity patterns. These patterns may also change when we consider different ecoregions which vary in barriers to dispersal and environmental filters. Here we attempt to answer the following question: How does environmental filtering and dispersal processes affect tadpole metacommunity structure in different spatial extents and different ecoregions? We conducted this work in the Seasonal Semideciduous Forest (SSF) and Dense Rain Forest (DRF) from the Atlantic Forest. These ecoregions mainly differ in their climate seasonality and land use intensity, so we had the following predictions: (1) All tadpole metacommunities have greater influence of environmental filtering processes in fine spatial extent (FSE); (2) Considering only deforested areas of the SSF, broad spatial extent (BSE) metacommunities may have similar patterns of those found in FSE; (3) Considering forestated and deforested areas of SSF, environmental filtering should still be the main structuring process, separating groups of species from forestated and deforested areas; (4) Dispersal is the main structuring process in the BSE in DRF metacommunity due to dispersal barriers. We found weak evidence of greater environmental filtering process in FSE, but strong evidence of it for all BSE metacommunities. The deforested/forestated areas did separated species in two different groups and the deforested metacommunity had similar patters to some found in FSE. Interestingly, the broad scale DRF metacommunity showed a nested species distribution pattern accordingly to canopy cover. In conclusion, we found that the Atlantic Forest tadpole metacommunity structures are more influenced by environmental filtering than dispersal process, and the most important environmental filter is canopy cover, which generates nested metacommunity patterns. In the absence of canopy cover, other important filters emerge, such as hydroperiod and local vegetational heterogeneity.

RMP was supported by CAPES/FAPESP (#2014/07591-7); DCRF holds a CNPq fellowship. DCRF and MVG were supported by CNPq/FAPESP (#563075/2010-4; #2010/52321-7).